

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 8775 07/16/2003 John F. Swigart 005127.85919 10/620,837 EXAMINER 22909 12/16/2004 BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. PATTERSON, MARIE D 1001 G STREET, N.W. PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4597 3728

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No. A	pplicant(s)	$\overline{}$	
		10/620,837		WIGART ET AL.	$\bigvee \bigvee \bigvee$	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	A	rt Unit		
		Marie Patter		728		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication				dress	
Period f	or Reply	• •		•		
THE - External control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, ication. days, a reply within the statutor tory period will apply and will e	however, may a reply be timely ry minimum of thirty (30) days wi xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from the tion to become ABANDONED (3	filed II be considered timely mailing date of this co		
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 09 November 200	4 .			
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-71</u> is/are pending in the application.					
,,,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5,26,42 and 43</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
′=	Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-25,27-41 and 44-71</u> is/are rejected.					
7)						
<i>,</i> —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	tion Papers					
. 6/□	The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner				
•	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
.0,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
,—	•					
-	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		- 05 11 0 0 0 440(-) (-	4) (6)		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a	a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
	•				Stago	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of			III tilis Ivational	Stage	
*	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	335 the attached detailed Office details	io. a not of the contine	30p.00 110t 1000110d.	•		
Attachme	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (P	ΓO-413)		
2) Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC		Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	<u> </u>		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/16/03. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/620,837 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species II in the reply filed on 11/9/04 is acknowledged.

2. Claims 5, 26, and 42-43 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 11/9/04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 45, 59, and 63-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 45 and 59 the phrase "the spaces" lacks antecedent basis rendering the claim vague and indefinite.

In claims 63-71 the phrase "a parting line" is confusing, vague, and indefinite because it is not clear what structural limitations applicant intends to encompass with such language. It is not clear what disclosed elements applicant is referring to with such language and therefore it is not clear what structures applicant intends to encompass with said language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/620,837 Page 3

Art Unit: 3728

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 5. Claims 1, 6, 8-10, 12-14, 16-22, and 63-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Dean (5704137).
- 6. Claims 23, 25, 27, 29, 30-39, and 63-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Swigart (6457262).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-4, 6-25, 27-41, and 44-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swigart in view of Roux (2002/0013967) or Graebe (4698864).

Swigart shows a shoe with a bladder having lobes encapsulated in a foam material substantially as claimed except for the exact shape of the bladder. Roux or Graebe teaches forming a cushioning bladder with a plurality of radial lobes shaped as claimed. It would have been obvious to form the bladder as taught by either Roux or Graebe in the device of Swigart to provide optimal cushioning/support.

9. Claims 1-4, 6-14, 16-27, 29-41, 44-57, and 59-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swigart in view of either Dean (5704137) or Schmidt (5545463).

Application/Control Number: 10/620,837 Page 4

Art Unit: 3728

Swigart shows a shoe with a bladder encapsulated in foam substantially as claimed except for the bladder being devoid of internal connections. Either Dean or Schmidt teaches bladders which have a plurality of interconnected chambers and the interior of such being devoid of internal connections. It would have been obvious to form the internal area devoid of internal connections (i.e. valves) as taught by either Dean or Schmidt in the shoe and bladder of Swigart to reduce the cost and weight of the device.

10. In reference to claim 2 and other similar claims which refer to the pressure of the air in the bladder, Swigart discusses numerous different pressures (column 5 lines 28-35). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use air at a pressure of 0-5psi, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum

1. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other useful information can be obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov.

or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at <u>(703) 872-9306</u> (FORMAL FAXES ONLY). Please identify Examiner <u>Marie Patterson</u> of Art Unit <u>3728</u> at the top of your cover sheet.

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Marie Patterson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4559. The examiner can normally be reached from 6AM - 4PM Mon-Wed.

Marie Patterson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3728